Orthostatic stress testing in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome patients with or without concomitant fibromyalgia: effects on pressure pain thresholds and temporal summation
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ABSTRACT

Objectives. Muscle pain and fibromyalgia (FM) are common among individuals with myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (ME/CFS). We recently demonstrated that during orthostatic stress testing, adults with ME/CFS reported increased pain. In the current study, we hypothesised that pain pressure thresholds (PPT) would decrease and temporal summation (windup) would increase after head-up tilt testing (HUT), and that the presence of co-morbid FM would be associated with greater change in both measures.

Methods. We studied adult ME/CFS patients undergoing HUT. PPT and temporal summation (or windup) measurements were obtained pre- and post-HUT at the finger and shoulder.

Results. 248 ME/CFS patients (164 with FM and 84 without FM), and 22 healthy controls (HC) were analysed. In HC there were no significant differences in PPT between pre- and post-HUT (finger: from 4.7 (1.6) to 4.4 (1.5); shoulder: from 2.8 (1.0) to 2.9 (1.0)). In ME/CFS patients with and without FM, a significant decrease in PPT post-HUT was found compared to HC (both p<0.0001). Patients with FM had a lower PPT pre- and post-HUT (finger: from 2.0 (0.9) to 1.5 (0.8); shoulder: from 1.2 (0.5) to 1.0 (0.5)) compared to patients without FM (finger: from 5.0 (1.6) to 3.3 (1.5); shoulder: from 2.2 (0.9) to 1.9 (1.0) (p ranging from 0.001 to <0.0001). Windup in HC did not significantly change from pre- to post-HUT. In ME/CFS patients with and without FM windup was increased compared to HC pre-HUT (both p<0.0001), but did not significantly change post-HUT.

Conclusions. Pressure pain threshold decreased in ME/CFS patients with or without fibromyalgia after head-up tilt test (HUT), but did not change post-HUT in healthy controls. Windup pre- and post-HUT was significantly higher compared to healthy controls, but did not change from pre- to post-HUT. These results demonstrate that, like exercise, orthostatic stress can negatively influence the physiology of pain perception in ME/CFS. Furthermore, the physiology of pain perception is even more negatively influenced by concomitant fibromyalgia.

Introduction

Apart from the characteristic fatigue, patients with myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (ME/CFS) often experience chronic widespread and persistent pain (1-3). A population-based study revealed that 94% of the persons diagnosed with ME/CFS report muscle pain, and 84% report joint pain (4). In fact, there is a great overlap between ME/CFS and fibromyalgia (FM), a disease particularly characterised by musculoskeletal pain (1, 5).

As has been demonstrated in those with FM (2, 3), previous studies define central sensitisation as the underlying mechanism maintaining chronic pain in ME/CFS patients (6-8). Central sensitisation comprises hyper-excitement of the central neurons, altered sensory processing in the brain and malfunctioning descending pain inhibitory mechanisms (9). Furthermore, exercise-induced pain inhibition is not activated in patients with ME/CFS, resulting in lower pain thresholds and pain exacerbation after exercise (10-12).

There are several methods for estimating the intensity of a stimulus required to evoke a painful sensation in the skin: mechanical, chemical, electrical, and...
thermal (13). Algometry is a widely used technique for determining the effect of mechanical stimulation. It generates data on pressure pain thresholds (PPT) (14), or the degree of pressure required before the individual experiences pain. Lower thresholds indicate that pain is experienced with less pressure. Algometry is valid and reproducible, with high levels of interrater reliability (15-18). PPT is one of the most frequently methods used in FM to objectively assess severity (19-24).

In ME/CFS patients, studies have shown that pain is increased following exercise stress testing (25, 26). Furthermore, studies have shown that submaximal physical stress reduced pain thresholds both in FM and non-FM ME/CFS patients, whereas in healthy controls pain thresholds increased (12, 27, 28). Another aspect of pain perception that can be studied using algometry is temporal summation (windup), which refers to an increased pain perception in response to repetitive painful stimuli. A meta-analysis in FM patients showed a significant increase in windup compared to HC (29).

In a previous study in ME/CFS patients, we demonstrated that orthostatic stress results in a cerebral blood flow reduction, and that the blood flow reduction is associated with onset or worsening of pain (30). In the current study, we hypothesised that PPT (kg/cm²) would be reduced and windup would increase after orthostatic stress testing in ME/CFS patients. For this purpose, PPT (kg/cm²) and windup were measured before and after a head-up tilt test (HUT) in ME/CFS patients and in healthy controls (HC). We also hypothesised that among ME/CFS participants, the presence of co-morbid FM would lead to lower PPT (kg/cm²) and increased windup compared to those without FM.

Materials and methods

Eligible participants

Female individuals diagnosed with ME/CFS, who underwent HUT at Stichting CardioZorg between November 2014 and April 2018 because of a clinical suspicion of orthostatic intolerance (OI), and in whom a complete set of the PPT’s pre- and post-HUT was available, were included in this study. Male patients were not studied because of differences in PPT values compared to female patients. ME/CFS was considered present if participants met both the 1994 International Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Study Group criteria for CFS (31) and the 2011 international consensus definition of ME (32), taking the exclusion criteria into account. During the first visit ME/CFS patients were classified as having FM or not. FM was considered present when the diagnosis had been confirmed in the past by a rheumatologist or when patients fulfilled the criteria of FM based on the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) fibromyalgia questionnaire (33). From the ACR fibromyalgia questionnaire, the widespread pain index (WPI) and the symptom severity questionnaire (SS scale score) was noted. For comparison 22 healthy female subjects underwent the same test.

The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The use of clinical data for descriptive studies (PT1450) and the use of HC (P1411) was approved by the ethics committee of the Slotervaart Hospital, the Netherlands. All patients gave informed consent.

Head-up tilt table test

The HUT was performed as described previously (34). Briefly, testing was conducted at least 3 hours after a light meal. Participants were encouraged to ingest an ample amount of fluid on the day of the procedure, but did not drink fluids in the 2 hours before the test. Participants were studied in a climate-controlled room where the temperatures ranged from 22-24°C. Individuals were studied in the supine position for 15 minutes, and for 30 minutes in the upright position (70-degrees). The test was ended after 30 minutes, at the request of the patient, or if the individual developed syncope or pre-syncope.

Heart rate (HR), systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP) were continuously recorded by finger plethysmography using the Nexfin device (BMeye, Amsterdam, NL) (35, 36).

An independent radio-controlled clock was used to mark the starting time of HR and BP recordings as well as the time of the start of tilting. HR and BP data were extracted from the Nexfin device and imported into an Excel spreadsheet. Supine HR and BP data were calculated from the last minute before tilting. Upright HR and BP data were calculated from the last minute of the upright position, and referred to as the end of study (EOS) values. Nasal prongs were placed to measure expired carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations. For the tilt testing component, individuals being treated with medication that could alter HR or BP (beta-adrenergic antagonists, midodrine, fludrocortisone, desmopressin, pyridostigmine bromide, anti-hypertensive medications, or ivabradine) were excluded from this analysis. Individuals being treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors or seratonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors continued to take these medications. Patients using neuropathic pain medication (opioids, anti-depressants, anti-epileptics, low dose naltrexone) were also allowed to continue the medication.

Pressure pain thresholds (PPT) (kg/cm²) and temporal summation (windup) PPT (kg/cm²) and windup measurements were performed by one experienced clinician (FCV). Prior to the start of PPT and windup measurements, patients rated their pain at that moment using a paper with a numeric rating scale (NRS) with the numbers placed vertically and on which anchors were given at 0: no pain, at 1: very mild pain, at 4: moderate pain, at 8: very strong pain, and at 10: the worst imaginable pain possible (37). PPT (kg/cm²) was measured with an analogue Fisher algometer (FDK 40, Wagner Instruments, Greenwich). For this purpose, a rubber tip of 1cm² was placed on the dorsal surface of the right-hand middle finger midway between the first and the second digital joint, and at the middle of the trapezius muscle of the non-dominant shoulder. The rubber tip was placed perpendicular to the skin surface. The right middle finger was chosen irrespective of
the left or right dominance, because the left-hand middle finger was used for the Nexfin BP measurements. The force was gradually increased at a rate of 1 kg/s until the subject indicated that the pain level had been reached. At the two sites the procedure was performed three times with 10 sec intervals. The PPT (kg/cm²) was calculated as the mean from the last two PPT (kg/cm²) measurements.

Windup was elicited by 10 applications of the algometer at previously determined PPT value on the same locations as used for PPT measurements. Windup measurements started at least 3 minutes after PPT measurements. For each stimulus, pressure was increased at a rate of 1 kg/s to the previously determined PPT, where it was maintained for 1 second before being released. Stimuli were presented with an interval of 2 seconds. The same NRS paper was used as described above. Subjects were instructed to rate the pain level ranging from 0 to 10 immediately after each stimulus.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using the statistical package of Graphpad Prism version 6.05 (Graphpad software, La Jolla, California, USA). All continuous data were tested for normal distribution using the D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test, and presented as mean (SD) or as median with the IQR, where appropriate. Nominal data were compared using the Chi-square test. Within group comparison was done by the ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis test where appropriate. Where significant, results were then explored further using the post-hoc Sidak’s test. Due to the multiple comparisons, we chose a conservative p value of <0.01 to be statistically significant.

Results

A total of 313 individuals with diagnosed ME/CFS underwent HUT during the study period. PPT testing was not performed, if this was not possible on either finger or shoulder, due to increased severity of hand pain (often in those with hypermobile joints) (n=50) or shoulder pain (n=0); 15 patients refused PT testing. None of the patients used HR or BP altering drugs before the measurements. This left 248 females to be analysed. Fibromyalgia was present in 164 patients (66%); 84 (34%) did not meet criteria for fibromyalgia. As part of the ME/CFS criteria, patients were asked for the presence of muscle complaints. In the group of ME/CFS patients without FM 59/84 (70%) reported muscle pains. In ME/CFS patients with FM, all patients 164/164 (100%) reported muscle pains. Table I shows the demographic characteristics of the study population.

| Table I. Demographic data and haemodynamic HUT results of the study population. |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                  | Group 1 HC (n=22)                | Group 2 without FM (n=84)        | Group 3 with FM (n=164)          | Ordinary one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey’s test |
| Age (yrs)                        | 41 (14)                         | 40 (12)                         | 38 (11)                         | F (2,267) = 1.33; p=0.27 |
| Disease duration (yrs)*          | 10 (4-17)                       | 9 (6-15)                        | 0.84 (Mann-Whitney-test)        |                          |
| Height (cm)                      | 171 (5)                         | 171 (7)                         | 170 (6)                         | F (2,267)= 0.84; p=0.43 |
| Weight (kg)                      | 72 (16)                         | 71 (14)                         | 72 (16)                         | F (2,267)= 0.31; p=0.74 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 24.8 (5.1)                      | 24.2 (5.0)                      | 25.0 (5.6)                      | F (2,267)= 0.67; p=0.51 |
| WPI*                             | 4 (2-5)                         | 9 (6-12)                        | <0.0001 (Mann-Whitney-test)     |                          |
| SS scale score*                  | 8 (7-9)                         | 8 (7-8)                         | 0.48 (Mann-Whitney-test)        |                          |
| NRS pain*                        | 0 (0-1.3)                       | 3 (1-5)                         | 5 (3-6)                         | X2(2)= 1.144; p=0.296; gr 1 vs. gr 2 p=0.005; gr 1 vs. gr 3 p=0.0001; gr 2 vs. gr 3 p=0.804 |
| Heart rate supine (bpm)          | 66 (13)                         | 79 (8)                          | 77 (12)                         | F (2,267)= 7.68; p=0.0006; gr 1 vs. gr 2 p=0.0052; gr 1 vs. gr 3 p=0.0003; gr 2 vs. gr 3 p=0.57 |
| Heart rate EOS (bpm)             | 80 (14)                         | 98 (18)                         | 103 (20)                        | F (2,267)= 14.43; p<0.0001; gr 1 vs gr 2 p=0.0002; gr 1 vs gr 3 p=0.0001; gr 2 vs gr 3 p=0.17 |
| SBP supine (mmHg)                | 137 (16)                        | 137 (16)                        | 136 (15)                        | F (2,267)= 0.17; p=0.84 |
| SBP EOS (mmHg)                   | 125 (16)                        | 123 (19)                        | 125 (18)                        | F (2,267)= 0.21; p=0.81 |
| DBP supine (mmHg)                | 79 (7)                          | 79 (8)                          | 79 (7)                          | F (2,267)= 0.13; p=0.87 |
| DBP EOS (mmHg)                   | 81 (9)                          | 82 (12)                         | 83 (10)                         | F (2,267)= 0.57; p=0.59 |

DBP: diastolic blood pressure; EOS: end of study; FM: fibromyalgia; HC: healthy controls; SBP: systolic blood pressure; NRS: numeric rating scale; SS scale score: symptom severity scale score; WPI: widespread pain index; yrs = years.

*data with median and IQR, analysis Kruskall-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn’s test.
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| Table II. Pressure pain threshold (PPT) data pre- and post-HUT of the study population. |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                       | Group 1 HC (n=22)           | Group 2 without FM (n=84)   | Group 3 with FM (n=164)     | Ordinary one-way ANOVA          |
|                                       | PPT (kg/cm²)                | PPT (kg/cm²)                | PPT (kg/cm²)                | with post-hoc Tukey’s test      |
| PPT finger pre-HUT (kg/cm²)           | 4.7 (1.6)                   | 5.0 (1.6)                   | 2.0 (0.9)                   | F (2,267)=185.3; p<0.0001; gr 1 vs. gr 2 p=0.52, gr 1 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001, gr 2 vs. gr 3 p=0.0001 |
| PPT finger post-HUT (kg/cm²)          | 4.4 (1.5)                   | 3.3 (1.5)                   | 1.5 (0.8)                   | F (2,267)=112.0; p<0.0001; gr 1 vs. gr 2 p=0.0003, gr 1 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001, gr 2 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001 |
| PPT shoulder pre-HUT (kg/cm²)         | 2.8 (1.0)                   | 2.2 (0.9)                   | 1.2 (0.5)                   | F (2,267)=80.32; p<0.0001; gr 1 vs. gr 2 p=0.0025, gr 1 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001, gr 2 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001 |
| PPT shoulder post-HUT (kg/cm²)        | 2.9 (1.0)                   | 1.9 (1.0)                   | 1.0 (0.5)                   | F (2,267)=81.10; p<0.0001; gr 1 vs. gr 2 p<0.0001, gr 1 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001, gr 2 vs. gr 3 p<0.0001 |

FM: fibromyalgia; HC: healthy controls; HUT: head-up tilt test; PPT (kg/cm²): pressure pain threshold.

The WPI was significantly higher in ME/CFS patients with fibromyalgia, compared to patients without fibromyalgia. The SS scale score was not significantly different between those 2 groups (p=0.48). The NRS pain score was significantly different between the three groups (p all <0.0001). ME/CFS patients with and without FM showed higher supine heart rates compared to HC (p<0.0005 and p<0.0002, respectively) and higher EOS heart rates compared to HC (both p<0.0001). No other variables were significantly different.

Table II shows PPT (kg/cm²) pre- and post-HUT for the finger and the shoulder. PPT (kg/cm²) of both finger and shoulder of HC were all significantly higher than of ME/CFS patients (p ranging between <0.0005 and <0.0001), except for the comparison with the PPT (kg/cm²) of the finger in ME/CFS patients without FM (p=0.41). Ninety-six ME/CFS patients (38%) used neuropathic pain medication, 14/84 (17%) in patients without FM and 82/164 (50%) in patients with FM. A subgroup analysis showed no differences in PPT (kg/cm²) between ME/CFS patients with FM using neuropathic pain medication compared to those without. Similarly, no differences in PPT (kg/cm²) were found between ME/CFS patients without FM using neuropathic pain medication or not (data not shown). The two way analysis of ANOVA showed a significant interaction for the pain pressure threshold of the finger (F (2, 534)=9.83; p<0.0001). Post-hoc comparisons according to Sidak’s test for pre-HUT: HC vs. FM min p=0.64, HC vs. FM plus FM min vs. FM plus both p>0.0001. For post-HUT: HC vs. FM min p=0.0003 and for HC vs. FM plus and FM min vs. FM plus both p<0.0001. For the pain pressure threshold on the shoulder the two-way analysis of ANOVA showed no significant interaction between the 3 groups (F (2,534)=2.00; p=0.14).

Figure 1 shows PPT (kg/cm²) pre- and post-HUT for the finger and the shoulder in HC (panel A), in ME/CFS patients without FM (panel B), and in ME/CFS patients with FM (panel C). PPT (kg/cm²) of the finger were significantly higher than PPT (kg/cm²) of the shoulder in all 3 groups (all p<0.0001). PPT (kg/cm²) of HC did not differ pre- and post-HUT for both the finger and the shoulder (p=0.14 and p=0.54, respectively). In both ME/CFS patient groups there was a significant difference pre- and post-HUT for both the finger and the shoulder (p ranging between 0.0001 and <0.0001). PPT (kg/cm²) of ME/CFS patients with FM were significantly lower than PPT (kg/cm²) of ME/CFS patients without FM (all p<0.0001).

Figure 2 shows the graphical representation of the regression line in the 3 groups for the finger (panel A) and the shoulder (panel B). For all time points and groups, the linear regression of windup from stimulus one to ten on both finger and shoulder were highly significant (p ranging from 0.0003 to <0.0001). The slopes of the regression lines pre- and post-HUT for both ME/CFS patient groups were significantly higher than the slopes of the pre- and post-HUT regressions lines of HC (all
There was no difference in the regression line slopes between pre- and post-HUT within the 3 groups for both the finger and the shoulder. For comparison with the published literature, stimulus 1 pain values were subtracted from stimulus 10 pain values to create a delta windup value. As shown in Figure 3, for both the finger and the shoulder, delta windup values did not differ between pre- and post-HUT in HC and the 2 ME/CFS patient groups. For both locations a statistically significant difference was found between HC and the 2 ME/CFS patient groups (both \( p<0.0001 \)).

**Discussion**

In ME/CFS patients sub grouped by the presence or absence of fibromyalgia (FM), we studied pressure pain thresholds (PPT) and the effects of orthostatic stress testing on PPT. First, in the supine position, PPT of the shoulder of ME/CFS patients with and without FM were all significantly lower than of the pre-HUT PPT of HC. The pre-HUT PPT of the finger of ME/CFS patients without FM were not different from that of HC, while that of patients with FM were lower that of HC. Second, post-HUT PPT declined significantly in ME/CFS patients with and without FM, whereas it did not change in HC. Third, the temporal summation (windup), defined by the slopes of the pain severity versus the number of stimuli and also defined by the difference in pain sensation between the first and tenth stimulus, were all higher in ME/CFS patients than in HC (all \( p<0.0001 \)). Comparing pre- and post-HUT slopes, there were no significant differences in both the two patient groups and in HC, nor was there a difference in pre- and post-HUT windup defined by the delta pain sensation of the first and tenth stimulus.

Baseline PPT: the PPT pre-HUT findings in our study of 164 ME/CFS patients with FM confirm and extend previous reports showing that FM patients have lower PPT values than HC (19, 39-41). In two ME/CFS studies a lower baseline PPT was found compared to HC (27, 42). ME/CFS patients with FM had a lower PPT than ME/CFS patients without FM (43). In our study the ME/CFS patients without FM had a similar baseline PPT on the finger compared to HC, and a lower

**Fig. 2.** Temporal summation on the finger and shoulder pre- and post-HUT for HC, ME/CFS patients without and with fibromyalgia. For clarity of the figure mean +/- SEM are shown. Panel A shows the wind-up for the finger and Panel B for the shoulder. The slopes within the groups (pre- and post-HUT) were not statistically significant.

FM: fibromyalgia, +: with; -: without; HC: healthy controls; pre: before head-up tilt test; post: after head-up tilt test.
baseline PPT on the shoulder compared to HC. A recent study in HC by Park et al. showed higher PPT on hands and fingers compared to the PPT of muscular parts, indicating the finger to be relatively less sensitive to pain (44). Despite the absence of a difference in PPT of the finger in patients without FM, PPT of the shoulder were significantly lower than that of HC. This could be explained by a high prevalence of muscle pain in even in patients without FM: in our study 70% of the ME/CFS patients without FM reported muscle pain and 17% of patients without FM used neuropathic pain medication. Also, NRS pain scores of patients without FM were higher than the NRS pain scores of HC. Taken together, our data on PPT and NRS pain scores and the data of Geisser et al. (43) indicate that pain is a very common phenomenon in adults with ME/CFS, with the pain spectrum ranging from no pain to severe pain/fibromyalgia. Therefore, not only the presence or absence of FM should be taken into account in pain management, but also the PPT values of the patients, irrespective of the diagnose of FM. This approach of using PPT measurements warrants further study.

PPT post-stressor: Earlier studies of the response of PPT to a physiologic stressor among HC have primarily used exercise as the intervention. Studies in HC invariably show that PPT is higher after exercise, indicating hypoalgesia (45). In FM patients the data on PPT post-exercise are conflicting: in 2 studies an unchanged PPT in the non-exercised muscle groups were found after isometric contraction exercise (39, 46). In contrast, in two other studies an increased PT in the non-exercised muscle group was found in FM patients (40, 41). In ME/CFS patients a different pattern was seen: post-exercise PPT increased in HC in contrast to a decrease in ME/CFS patients (12, 27). In the present study, PPT in HC after the orthostatic stressor were unchanged, whereas in both ME/CFS patient groups PPT were significantly lower compared to values pre-HUT (both \( p < 0.0001 \)). In a recent study we showed that during HUT, adults with ME/CFS reported increased fatigue, decreased concentration, increased dizziness/light-headedness, and the provocation or worsening of pain (30). Moreover, those with ME/CFS experienced a significant decrease of cerebral blood flow compared to HC, and that there was an inverse linear relation between the number of symptoms reported during HUT and the reduction in cerebral blood flow. In other studies, it was shown that working memory function, as assessed by the n-back test, decreased during HUT (47, 48). We therefore hypothesize, that the pain perception increase, as demonstrated by a PPT decrease, may be related to reduction in cerebral blood flow. The pathophysiology of the increased pain sensation after orthostatic stress (possibly related to increased catecholamines, metabolic changes, or inflammatory changes) needs to be addressed in future studies. The increased sympathetic activity and the increased
Orthostatic intolerance symptoms have been demonstrated in primary fibromyalgia patients (49). On the other hand, prolonged standing as a physiologic stressor in ME/CFS patients, might also be responsible for the increase in PPT. Future interventions during HUT, like application of a lower body compression could address the question whether the PPT decrease is due to cerebral blood flow reduction or due to prolonged standing (50).

Baseline windup
A recent meta-analysis comparing FM patients with HC showed that windup was significantly higher in FM patients compared to HC (test for overall effect: p<0.0005) (29). This meta-analysis analysed 14 studies, including 298 healthy controls and 318 FM patients. In an ME/CFS study windup in ME/CFS patients (n=48) with a high pain rating score, a non-significant difference compared to HC (n=39) was found (51). Our results show, both in ME/CFS patients with and without FM, a highly significantly increased windup in ME/CFS patients (both p<0.0001) compared to HC. The differences between our study and of Collin et al. (51) are unexplained but may be due to different inclusion criteria.

Windup post-stressor
A differential effect of exercise was shown in a study using thermal stimulation in HC (n=10) vs. FM patients (n=10) (52). Following a maximal exercise stress test in FM patients, windup was higher than pre-exercise data, whereas in HC windup was lower post-exercise. In the study of Maihlet et al. post submaximal exercise windup between 20 HC and 20 ME/CFS patients no significant differences were observed (53). In the present study pre-HUT windup of HC was significantly lower than windup of ME/CFS patients with and without FM. Post-HUT data did not change in HC and in the two patient groups. A review of Staud et al. without pointing out any number of patients involved, indicated that part of the windup is related to abnormal pain processing in the spinal cord (2). Possibly, differences in flow reduction of the spinal cord vs. central cortical and subcortical areas or different sensitivities to flow reduction may explain the observed differences in PPT and windup, but needs to be studied further.

Whether fibromyalgia has the same underlying pathophysiology as ME/CFS has been discussed over many decades. Some authors have opined that FM and ME/CFS cannot be differentiated (54, 55): “We conclude by suggesting that fibromyalgia is one of many medically unexplained syndromes which have more similarities than differences between them”. Other authors have identified differences between ME/CFS patients with and without FM with regard to levels of substance P (56, 57), cognitive deficits (58), plasma prolactin after stimulation (59), balance abnormalities while standing (60), and sleep dynamics (61). Moreover, a difference in the prevalence of a viral trigger was found between CF/ME patients with and without FM (62), along with a difference in the severity of post-exertional malaise (63). Applying the new SEID criteria, in which pain is not included as a cardinal symptom, Jason et al. found that SEID patients with FM were more disabled than patients without FM (64). See for a recent review Natelson (65). In conclusion, the above mentioned data suggest that there may be a different underlying pathophysiology, but further studies are needed to clarify the true nature of the differences. Indeed, Castro-Marrero et al. suggested the use of five different comorbidity clusters of ME/CFS patients (66), in which FM was included in cluster 1. Finally, Blitshteyn and Chopra suggested that it may be more beneficial and therapeutically effective to stratify FM and CF/ME patients into more specific diagnoses in the group of the so called “chronic disorders associated with fatigue (CDAF)” (67).

Limitations
The reliability of PPT measurements is dependent upon not only the application technique of the observer, but also on the ability of the participant to provide a consistent verbal indication of the PPT level. It has been previously shown in ME/CFS patients that working memory, as assessed by n-back testing, is reduced during-HUT compared to pre-HUT (47, 48). This may have altered the post-HUT assessment of PPT and windup. For reasons of impaired memory and concentration we applied 1 kg/s instead of 2 kg/s in determining windup, as patient responses might be delayed (38). For the same reason we asked patients to rate windup after every stimulus. Whether this may change the outcomes, needs to be studied in future. The reduction of cerebral blood flow during HUT (30) is variable between ME/CFS patients. To which extent the cerebral blood flow reduction is related to PPT changes is subject of another study. We only studied ME/CFS patients undergoing HUT because of the suspicion of OI. It is unknown whether ME/CFS patients without OI show the same PPT and windup alterations. Also, the effect in male ME/CFS patients is unknown. This single center study is the first on the effects of HUT on PPT and windup and needs to be replicated by others.

Conclusions
This is the first study showing the influence of orthostatic stress on pain perception in ME/CFS patients. After orthostatic stress PPT is decreased in ME/CFS patients, with or without fibromyalgia, whereas in healthy controls PPT did not change. In contrast, after orthostatic stress windup is unchanged in both HC and the two patient groups, but significantly increased in the two patient groups compared to HC. Our data show that the physiology of pain perception is negatively influenced by concomitant fibromyalgia.
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